	. MN	
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/657,604	BRANDENBURG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian La Forgia	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS In herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this and of the communicated of the communication o	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 16 July 2007.		
2. $\boxtimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23 and 25-37</u> .		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received: ☐ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gifted including changes required by the Notice of Draftspering including changes required by the Notice of Draftspering including changes required by the attached Examined Paper No./Mail Date ☐ Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the department of the paper No. INFORMATION about the department of the priority documents attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	ve been received. ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in the document have been received in the document have been received in the document have been received in the documents have	is national stage application from the ally complying with the requirements  ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF aration is deficient.  O-948) attached  e Office action of wings in the front (not the back) of 21(d).  L must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)		J. Detect Application
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informa  o) 6. ☐ Interview Summa	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No./Mail	Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date	7. Examiner's Ame	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	t 8. ⊠ Examiner's State  9. □ Other	ment of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 09/657,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment of 16 July 2007 has been noted and made of record.

- 2. Claims 1-23 and 35-37 have been presented for examination.
- 3. Claim 24 has been cancelled as per Applicant's request.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments and amendments, see page 13, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claims 1-36 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-36 has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 13 and 14, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 has been withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-19, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claim 37 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 37 has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. As noted above, the Examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach a filter engine for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature. Since the Examiner could not find any teachings in the prior art of a filter engine for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature, claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 are therefore novel and non-obvious.
- 8. As noted above, the Examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach a filter for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature.

  Since the Examiner could not find any teachings in the prior art of a filter engine for determining

Art Unit: 2131

whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature, claim 37 is therefore novel and nonobvious.

9. Claims 17-22, 26, 27, 30, 35 and 36 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter, but were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Those rejections have since been overcome, and claims 17-22, 26, 27, 30, 35, and 36 are allowed.

## Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian LaForgia Patent Examiner Art Unit 2131 Application/Control Number: 09/657,604

Art Unit: 2131

clf

Page 4